

# Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## CORRESPONDENCE AND NEWS OF THE PROFESSION.

### Direction of Verdict.

In relation to the practice in Virginia as to directing verdicts, discussed in the February and March numbers of the "Register," the following communication, commenting on the refusal of a writ of error in the case of Chapman's Administratrix v. N. & W. Ry. Co., may be of interest to the profession:

Theodore W. Reath, Esq., General Solicitor N. & W. Ry. Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Mr. Reath:—The refusal of the Court of Appeals to grant a writ of error in the above case is an unqualified approval of peremptory instructions in proper cases. The trial court, as you know, refused all of plaintiff's instructions in this case and gave in lieu thereof, at our request, an instruction directing the jury to find for the defendant; and the jury endorsed the verdict written by counsel without retiring from the bar.

Yours very truly,

CLARENCE C. BURUS.

Lebanon, Va.

#### News of the Profession.

Mr. Ashby Williams, a member of the Roanoke Bar, and a B. L. of the Class of 1906 of the University, will shortly bring out a compilation of the Virginia corporation laws, annotated and indexed, together with a full collection of forms.

The book will be a little over two hundred pages, and will be similar in form to Corbin's New Jersey Laws, Annotated, and Chilton's West Virginia Laws, Annotated. It will be a handy compilation of the many corporation laws of Virginia, which has been long needed by the profession, and its appearance in the near future will be welcomed.

## MISCELLANY.

## The Lawyer and the Client.

BY C. H. PATTESON.

"Good morning. Is this Mr. Quickwit?" asked the stranger as he entered my office.

"Yes, sir; have a seat," I replied.

Seating himself, he leaned slightly forward, one hand on each knee. Appearances indicated that something of great importance was on his mind. The happy thought struck me that a valuable piece of legal